APPENDIX A Scorecard

DATA INDICATOR													
Legend		Healthy People 2020 Target										٥	
An italicized indicator denotes qualitative data collected in a focus group or interview		<u>a</u>			Valley	0						South Bay/Harbor	5
Two dashes () = no data available		50		호	Val	2	<u>-</u>					Ę	4
SPA = Service Planning Area		70		Angeles County)e	Fernando	Gabriel					3ay	E
Black boxes indicate that the area performed worse than the benchmark Grey boxes indicate the variable was used in a CHNA report.		జ		ŏ	Antelope	ъ	ၓ	o.	به	£		₹	é
Red boxes indicate the variable was identified as a health driver in 2016 scorecard	ata	o _e	_	<u>se</u>	n te	San	San	Metro	West	South	East	Ö	5
(*) = Restricted data	₽	Α.	Ë	- JG	∢ .						Щ.		S
	ear of Data	툍	.₫		7	7 2	8	4	5	9	7	8	<u>=</u>
Please note that for most data indicators, it is possible to get further subpopulation breakdowns by	-Kea	Je Je	California	Los	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA 8 -	Online Survey (n=47)
gender, FPL, age)			_				0,	•	•,	0,		•,	
HEALTH CHAR	ACTER	RISTICS, I	NEEDS	AND D	DRIVER	S							
Demographics													
Population Characteristics													
•	2017			15.1%	15.7%*	16.70%	17.60%	15.50%	11.4%*	13.20%	13.60%	13.70%	
Percent of population that are Children (Age 0-11) Percent of population that are Adolescents (Age 12-17)	2017			7.7%	9.1%*	6.8%*	6.2%*	7.0%*	3.2%*	13.20%	6.5%*	13.70%	
, ,	2017			63.7%	61.20%	63.20%	62.10%	62.10%	71.00%	65.70%	65.10%	62.40%	
Percent of population that are Adults (Age 18-64) Percent of population that are Seniors (Age 65+)	2017			13.5%	14.00%	13.30%	14.00%	15.40%	14.3%*	9.2%*	14.70%	12.90%	
Percent of population: Male	2017			49.3%	45.00%	54.10%	48.30%	51.40%	52.20%	47.70%	45.10%	46.60%	
Percent of population: Male Percent of population: Female	2017			50.7%	55.00%	45.90%	51.70%	48.60%	47.80%	52.30%	54.90%	53.40%	
Language spoken at home: English	2017		 55.6%	43.1%	33.00%	45.90%	31.70%	40.00%	47.00%	32.30%	34.90%	33.40%	
Language spoken at nome: English Language spoken at home: Spanish	2017		28.9%	39.4%									
Citizenship: Not a US Citizen	2017		13.4%	16.9%									
Average family size	2017		3.54	3.69									
Social and Economic Factors Ranking LA county vs. 58 CA counties	2017		3.34	23									
Educational attainment													
Highest Educational Attainment: Grades 1-8	2017			10.8%	7.6%*	11.6%*	7.8%*	16.6%*	4.2%*	14.80%	13.9%*	7.2*%	i
Highest Educational Attainment: Grades 9-11	2017			7.9%	11.4%*	7.5%*	8.4%*	5.2%*	1.5%*	14.6%*	10.1*%	5.7%*	
Highest Educational Attainment: High School	2017			21.5%	26.6%*	20.0%	20.6%	15.9%	11.5%*	26.0%	25.5%	25.8%	
Highest Educational Attainment: Some college (AA/AS degree)	2017			5.5%	7.1%*	4.4%*	4.1%*	6.5%*	4.6%*	6.5%*	6.2%*	6.3%*	
Highest Educational Attainment: Bachelor's degree	2017			23.1%	14.9%	24.3%	24.4%	24.8%	37.7%	10.8%	15.9%	28.1%	
Highest Educational Attainment: No formal education	2017			1.9%		0.3%*	1.3%*			4.2%*	3.9%*	1.5%*	i
High School Graduation Rate	2017		83.3%	79.6%									
Percent 25 years and older who have high school diploma or higher education	2017		83.2%	79.6%									
Current Employment Status: FTE	2017			53.8%	50.9%*	57.8%	55.0%	51.2%	59.5%	51.2%	49.6%	52.3%	1
Current Employment Status: PTE	2017			10.7%	4.1%*	10.4%*	11.6%	11.0%	9.7%*	11.9%*	10.4%*	11.2%	
Current Employment Status: Unemployed and looking for work	2017			4.4%	1.0%*	5.3%*	3.9%*	4.8%*	3.4%*	3.8%*	3.7%*	5.8%*	1
Current Employment Status: Unemployed and NOT looking for work	2017			30.8%	44.0%*	26.2%	29.3%	32.6%	27.1%*	31.7%	36.4%	30.7%	Ī
Access to Health Care: Health insurance coverage, Type of Coverage													
Percent of adults without health insurance	2017		9.0%	9.6%	6.2%	10.2%	8.9%	11.9%	4.3%	14.2%	10.3%	7.6%	
Percent of youth (age 0-17) without health insurance			2.2%	1.9%						10.4%			
Health Insurance Coverage; Percent Total Population who are currently insured	2017		92.7%	92.2%	95.3%	91.6%	93.2%	90.8%	96.3%	86.8%	91.8%	94.1%	
Health Insurance Coverage; Percent of Adults Ages (18-64) who are currently insured	2017		89.1%	88.5%	92.4%	87.7%	89.1%	85.2%	94.8%	83.8%	87.9%	91.0%	
Health Insurance Coverage: Percent of youth (17 and under) who are currently insured	2017		97.8%	98.1%	100.0%	97.1%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	89.6%	100.0%		
Type of Insurance Coverage													
Medi-Cal	2017		25.0%	29.4%									
Healthy Families	2017												
Medicare Only	2017		1.6%	1.4%*									
Medicaid and Medicare	2017		4.3%	5.7%									

DATA INDICATOR													
		#											
Legend		rge										ō	
An italicized indicator denotes qualitative data collected in a focus group or interview		Healthy People 2020 Target			ley	٥						South Bay/Harbor	5
Two dashes () = no data available		20		Ę	SPA 1 - Antelope Valley	San Fernando	<u> </u>					Ę	(n=47)
SPA = Service Planning Area		70		Los Angeles County	e	L a	San Gabriel					3ay	٤
Black boxes indicate that the area performed worse than the benchmark		e e		ŏ	9	Ē	Ga	0		5		5	ā
Grey boxes indicate the variable was used in a CHNA report. Red boxes indicate the variable was identified as a health driver in 2016 scorecard	ata	loa		<u>e</u> 2	nte	a	a	Metro	West	South	East	t o	Sirvey
(*) = Restricted data		_ ~	n ia	ge	۷ .	Ø,	Ø,	≥ '	>	رة -	Ü	Ŋ	Ű.
() = 1.5551.5552 uniu	ō	€.	ξ	Æ	Ž	7	က	4	5	9	_	8	Online
Please note that for most data indicators, it is possible to get further subpopulation breakdowns b	K Year of Data	<u>e</u> a	California	SO	Ą.	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	2
gender, FPL, age)	>	T	Ö	_	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	C
Medicare & Others	2017		9.3%	7.5%									
Other Public	2017		1.5%	1.8%									
Employment based	2017		44.4%	39.8%									
Private Purchase	2017		6.5%	6.6%									
No Insurance	2017		7.3%	7.8%									
Insurance Coverage: Age 0-17													
Medi-Cal	2017		44.1%	45.5%									
Healthy Families	2017												
Medicare Only	2017												
Medicaid and Medicare	2017												
Medicare & Others	2017												
Other Public	2017		1.1%	0.8%*									
Employment based	2017		45.5%	44.4%									
Private Purchase	2017		5.1%	4.9%									
				1.9%*									
No Insurance	2017		2.2%	1.9%									
Insurance Coverage: Age 18-64	2017		40.70/	24.00/									
Medi-Cal	2017		18.7%	21.0%									
Healthy Families	2017												
Medicare Only	2017		0.8%	0.7%*									
Medicaid and Medicare	2017		1.9%*	2.1%*									
Medicare & Others	2017		0.2%*	0.2%*									
Other Public	2017		1.8%	1.9%									
Employment based	2017		51.5%	45.8%									
Private Purchase	2017		8.9%	8.9%									
No Insurance	2017		10.9%	11.5%									
Insurance Coverage: Age 65+													
Medi-Cal	2017		0.7%*	1.8%*									
Healthy Families	2017												
Medicare Only	2017		7.7%	6.9%*									
Medicaid and Medicare	2017		22.0%	32.2%									
Medicare & Others	2017		64.4%	55.2%									
Other Public	2017		0.3%*	0.2%*									
Employment based	2017		3.9%	2.9%*									
Private Purchase	2017		0.3%*	0.4%*									
No Insurance	2017		0.7%*	0.5%*									
Access to Health Care: Health insurance, source of care, delay of care													
Source of Care													
Source of Care: Dr. Office/HMO/Kaiser Permanente	2017		59.2%	54.2%									
Source of Care: Community Clinic/Govt Clinic/Community Hospital	2017		25.7%	28.0%									
Source of Care: Emergency Room/Urgen Care	2017		1.6%	2.4%									

DATA INDICATOR													
DATA INDICATOR													
Legend		Target										5	
An italicized indicator denotes qualitative data collected in a focus group or interview		<u>a</u>			è	•						ē	2
Two dashes () = no data available		. 03		₽	a a	절	_					Ŧ	4
SPA = Service Planning Area		50.		Ē	<u>ہ</u>	na L	Ĕ					á	ت
Black boxes indicate that the area performed worse than the benchmark		<u>e</u>		ပိ	<u>8</u>	Fernando	gal	0		_		<u>е</u>	e
Grey boxes indicate the variable was used in a CHNA report.	Data	l de		Angeles County	Antelope Valley	San	San Gabriel	Metro	West	South	East	South Bay/Harbor	≥
Red boxes indicate the variable was identified as a health driver in 2016 scorecard (*) = Restricted data	ă	ď	n ia	ge	₹	ΐ	ΐ	Š	>	ο, '	Щ,	Υ	S
() = Nestroicu data	ō	ĺ€	یَ	Ā	÷	8	က	4	5	ė.	_	œ.	ine
Please note that for most data indicators, it is possible to get further subpopulation breakdowns by	Year of	Healthy People 2020	California	Los	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	Online Survey (n=47)
gender, FPL, age)	>		O		Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	U
Source of Care: Other	2017		0.5%	0.4%*									
Source of Care: None	2017		13.0%	15.1%									
Percent who have a usual source of care	2017		87.0%	84.9%	86.9%	81.8%	86.9%	81.2%	87.5%	88.5%	79.8%	90.1%	
Percent of youth (age 0-17) who have a usual source of care	2017		90.5%	88.9%	82.4%	81.5%	91.3%	89.1%	94.5%	97.1%	78.5%	97.9%	
Parecent of adults (age 18-64) who have usual source of care	2017		83.7%	81.6%	87.5%	78.9%	83.3%	77.4%	84.2%	84.9%	76.6%	85.9%	
Percent of seniors (65 and over) who have a usual source of care	2017		95.5%	93.9%	92.7%	96.4%	95.0%	84.8%	96.8%	91.1%	95.5%	95.6%	
Delay of Care													
Percent of adults who delayed or didn't get medical care	2017		12.4%	11.4%	12.3%	12.4%	11.0%	13.3%	11.0%	7.6%	9.3%	13.2%	
Percent of youth (age 0-17) delayed or didn't get medical care	2017		3.7%	3.2%						4.7%			
Percent of total population who had to forgo needed medical care	2017		62.1%	63.7%	67.3%	65.1%	61.7%	64.6%	60.0%	55.9%	67.3%	64.3%	
Percent of adults who had to forgo needed medical care	2017		64.6%	65.6%	72.4%	70.1%	62.1%	66.1%	60.0%	55.6%	64.3%	66.3%	
Percent who have used an emergency room in the past 12 months	2017		20.6%	21.7%	19.8%	25.8%	19.3%	16.3%	14.5%	23.9%	25.3%	22.0%	
Percent of youth (Age 0-17) who have used an emergency room in the past 12 months	2017		18.0%	18.9%		26.3%	24.1%	15.6%		8.4%	19.7%	19.3%	
Percent of adults (18-64) who have used an emergency room in the past 12 months	2017		21.0%	22.1%	22.6%	25.2%	17.3%	15.4%	16.9%	30.1%	25.5%	21.6%	
Percent of seniors who have used an emergency room in the past 12 months	2017		23.6%	25.0%	28.9%	27.7%	19.8%	21.2%	15.2%	22.1%	32.0%	29.1%	
Percent living under 100% Federal Poverty Level who have used an emergency room in	2017		26.0%	25.1%	27.0%	44.8%	27.2%	9.4%	30.8%	20.5%	26.5%	19.7%	
the past 12 months			20.070	201170	,			0.170	00.070	20.070		1011 70	
Percent living between 100-199% Federal Poverty Level who have used an emergency	2017		24.2%	23.6%	23.3%	31.4%	23.0%	12.3%	22.1%	24.4%	24.8%	24.7%	
room in the past 12 months			22 / 0										
Percent of adults who could not afford their medication	2011			15.4%	15.1%	15.8%	15.6%	15.3%	9.8%	18.8%	15.3%	15.1%	
Percent of adults who delayed of didn't get prescription medicine	2017		10.1%	9.6%	12.0%	8.7%	6.5%	11.6%	11.5%	9.5%	7.9%	13.3%	
Percent of youth (age 0-17) who delayed or didn't get prescription medicine	2017		3%	3.3%						9.5%		7.8%	
Percent who delayed care due to cost or lack of insurance	2017		45.6%	46.0%	39.3%	47.1%	50.9%	55.3%	42.2%	35.1%	42.0%	43.4%	
Percent of youth (Age 0-17) who delayed care due to cost or lack of insurance	2017		31.4%	60.9%									
Percent of adults who had difficult time accessing primary care	2017		5.7%	5.0%	5.4%	6.7%	4.8%	5.4%	10.5%	3.5%	2.0%	3.4%	
Percent who had a difficult time accessing services for their child	2011			12.3%	12.7%	9.6%	11.8%	12.1%	4.5%	17.7%	16.4%	10.1%	
Percent living in a Health Professional Shortage Area	2015		25.2%	31.4%									
Percent of adults who needed to see a medical specialist in the past year	2017		38.8%	37.4%	39.9%	38.1%	33.5%	32.8%	46.3%	31.2%	33.4%	47.6%	
Percent who had a difficult time finding specialty care	2017		11.5%	11.5%	6.4%	14.2%	10.5%	13.3%	14.8%	11.0%	10.4%	9.0%	
Ratio of population to primary care providers	2018		1,280:1	1,390:1									
Transportation Percent unable to obtain medical care due to a lack of transportation	2014			7.4%	10.7%	6.1%	7.2%	0.7%	3.2%	12.5%	6.9%	6.2%	
·	2014		-	7+/0	10.7%	0.176	1.2/0	9.7%	J.Z /0	12.5%	0.976	0.2 /0	
Chronic Diseases													
Health Status	2017		16.60/	10.20/	22.40/	16.20/	15 70/	26.69/	0.50/	22.70/	22.00/	20-49/	
Percent who have a fair or poor health status	2017		16.6%	19.3%	23.4%	16.3%	15.7%	26.6%	9.5%	23.7%	22.8%	20.4%	
Percent of youth who have a fair or poor health status	2017		4.6%	3.6%							3.4%		
Percent of seniors who have a fair or poor health status	2017		24.1%	29.4%	44.8%	27.5%	18.9%	37.4%	22.4%	37.0%	29.5%	33.6%	
Asthma	0047		45.404	45.401	00.00/	47.00	4.4.001	40.00/	40.001	40.504	40.001	47.00	
Percent of total population diagnosed with asthma	2017		15.4%	15.1%	20.9%	17.2%		12.6%	12.2%	12.5%	13.3%	17.8%	
Percent of youth (Age 0-17) diagnosed with asthma	2017		13.8%	15.1%	7.5%	17.6%	16.6%			10.9%	8.6%	20.2%	

DATA INDICATOR													
DATA INDICATOR													
Legend		Target										<u> </u>	
An italicized indicator denotes qualitative data collected in a focus group or interview		ā			è							South Bay/Harbor	2
Two dashes () = no data available		- C		≥	a a	g g	_					На	47
SPA = Service Planning Area		502		Ē	> •	nar	rie					ay/	ے
Black boxes indicate that the area performed worse than the benchmark	-	<u>0</u>		Angeles County	Antelope Valley	Fernando	San Gabriel	_		_		<u>е</u>	è
Grey boxes indicate the variable was used in a CHNA report.	草	8		S	ē	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	Metro	West	South	st	호	≥
Red boxes indicate the variable was identified as a health driver in 2016 scorecard	Data	8	<u>ā</u> .	<u>je</u>	Ą	San	Sa	ž	š	တိ	East	S	Su
(*) = Restricted data	4	Ę	P P	Ā	'	2-	ė,	4	5-	- 9	7 -	ά.	e e
Please note that for most data indicators, it is possible to get further subpopulation breakdowns by	Year	Healthy People 2020	California	Los /	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	Online Survey (n=47)
gender, FPL, age)	×	ž	ర	۲	S	S	S	Ö	Š	Š	S	S	0
Male Youth Age 0-17 diagnosed with asthma	2017		13.3%	15.7%									
Female Youth Age 0-17 diagnosed with asthma	2017		14.4%	14.5%									
Ethnicity: Latino youth (Age 0-17) diagnosed with asthma	2017		14.3%	15.3%									
Ehtnicity: White youth (Age 0-17) diagnosed with asthma	2017		11.4%	7.9%									
Ethnicity: Write youth (Age 0-17) diagnosed with astima Ethnicity: African American youth (Age 0-17) diagnosed with asthma	2017		27.6%	28.0%									
Ethnicity: Asian youth (Age 0-17) diagnosed with asthma	2017		10.4%										
Percent of population who take medication to control their asthma	2017		43.5%	43.5%	39.5%	49.8%	27.2%	46.2%	74.3%	51.6%	52.1%	35.2%	i
Percent of youth (Age 0-17) who take medication to control their asthma	2017		39.2%	36.5%	33.370	43.070	21.2/0	40.270	74.070	01.070	02.170	JJ.2 /0	
								20.00/		44.00/	40.004	40.50/	
Percent who went to the emergency room/urgent care for asthma in the last 12 months	2016		12.7%	13.1%		11.4%	14.4%	26.0%		14.2%	10.8%	12.5%	
Percent of youth who went to the emergency room/urgent care for asthma in the last 12			10.5%	2.3%									
months	2016												
Cancer, in General													
Rate of cancer incidence per 100,000 pop.	2015		404.0	(*)									
Rate of breast cancer incidence per 100,00 pop.	2015		121.5	(*)									
Rate of cervical cancer per 100,000 pop.	2015		7.2	(*)									
Rate of colorectal cancer incidence per 100,000 pop.	2015		36.2	(*)									
Rate of prostate cancer incidence per 100,000 pop.	2015		101.2	(*)									
Rate of lung cancer incidence per 100,000 pop.	2015		43.3	(*)									
Cardiovascular Disease/Heart Disease													
Percent of adults diagnosed with heart disease	2017		6.6%	6.6%	9.7%	6.2%	7.1%	7.8%	4.2%	8.5%	5.2%	5.8%	
Percent of adults who feel very confident in their ability to manage their heart disease	2014		53.6%	53.5%	50.3%	56.2%	56.6%	29.4%	66.7%	62.4%	40.4%	53.6%	
Percent of adults who feel somewhat confident in their ability to manage their heart			34.9%	36.0%	24.1%	42.0%	42.1%	53.2%	30.7%	33.3%	28.4%	32.5%	
disease	2014		34.370	30.070	24.170	42.070	42.170	JJ.Z /0	30.7 /0	33.3 /0	20.7/0	32.3 /0	ı
Percent of adults who do not feel confident in their ability to manage their heart disease	2014		11.5%	10.4%	25.6%	1.8%	1.4%	17.4%	-	4.2%	31.2%	-	
Percent who have a heart disease management plan	2017		76.3%	76.8%	71.2%	94.7%	72.2%	63.1%	81.1%	73.3%	72.4%	77.7%	
Diabetes													
Adult Diabetes: percent Diagnosed with diabetes	2017		10.7%	12.1%	10.5%	9.6%	9.3%	11.6%	4.5%	17.8%	15.8%	16.1%	
Adult Diabetes: Percent diagnosed pre/borderline diabetic	2017		15.6%	17.4%	22.0%	16.7%	16.3%	14.0%	12.2%	16.2%	20.1%	22.2%	
Adult Diabetes: Percent very confident to control condition	2017		60.1%	56.7%	75.3%*	58.1%*	58.7%*	54.4%	26.8%*	65.2%*	49.4%*	56.6%	1
Adult Diabetes: Percent confident to control condition	2017		32.7%	33.5%	8.9%*	35.0%*	26.6%*	31.9%*	67.1%	21.4%*	39.9%*	40.2%	
Adult Diabetes: Percent not confident to control condition	2017		7.2%*	9.9%*	15.9%*	6.9%*	14.7%*	13.7%*		13.4%*	10.7%*	3.2%	
Disability													
Percent of adults diagnosed with a disability	2016		29.7%	30.7%	39.6%	31.8%	21.7%	34.0%	31.7%	38.8%	32.3%	28.3%	
Percent who could not work due to a physical/mental impairment	2016		7.0%	6.9%	9.5%	7.8%	5.5%	7.3%	7.3%	9.6%	3.8%	6.5%	
HIV/AIDS													
Percent of adults who have ever been tested for HIV	2017		49.8%	52.5%	58.1%	49.1%	46.2%	56.0%	55.7%	66.0%	50.8%	51.8%	
Rate of HIV diagnosis per 100,000 pop.	2016	<=13.0		19.0	10.0	13.0	10.0	48.0	9.0	32.0	14.0	17.0	
Rate of AIDS diagnosis per 100,000 pop.	2016			7	3	4	4	15	3	12	5	6	
Rate of those living with HIV per 100,000 pop.	2017			501.0	281.0	330.0	209.0	1,547.0		600.0	272.0	501.0	
Rate of deaths from HIV per 100,000 pop.	2016			6	6	3	3	13	4	9	3	7	

DATA INDICATOR													
		Į.											
Legend An italicized indicator denotes qualitative data collected in a focus group or interview		Target			<u>~</u>							rbor	_
Two dashes () = no data available		Z0 J		⋧	Antelope Valley	Fernando	_					South Bay/Harbo	(n=47)
SPA = Service Planning Area		20%		Ĕ	9 2	ın aı	Gabriel					3ay,	ڠ
Black boxes indicate that the area performed worse than the benchmark Grey boxes indicate the variable was used in a CHNA report.		ple		ပိ	og de	Ē	Ga	o	+:	£		£	Survey
Red boxes indicate the variable was identified as a health driver in 2016 scorecard	ata	960		<u>8</u>	'n	San	San	Metro	West	South	East	Į,	
(*) = Restricted data	Year of Data	Healthy People 2020	California	Angeles County	1	2 - 8	9 - E	- 4 - 7	5 - 7	9	Ţ	8	e
Please note that for most data indicators, it is possible to get further subpopulation breakdowns by	ar	altl	¥ ¥	Los A	SPA 1	SPA 2	SPA 3	SPA 4	SPA (SPA (SPA 7	SPA 8	Online
gender, FPL, age)	>	Ξ̈́	ပၱ	۲	S	S	ß	S	ß	S	ß	ß	C
Hypertension													
Percent diagnosed with high blood pressure	2017	<=26.9%	29.0%	30.0%	39.7%	29.0%	30.2%	24.4%	22.5%	32.7%	34.4%	30.8%	1
Percent who take medication for high blood pressure	2017		70.7%	72.6%	72.0%*	71.6%	74.5%*	71.3%*	63.9%*	78.2%*	66.9%*	77.6%*	
Early Childhood Development and Health													
Maternal and Infant Health	2017	7.000/	0.00/	7 40/									
Percent of infants with low birth weight (under 2500 grams) Percent of live births with mothers who entered prenatal care late	2017 2017	7.80%	6.8% 3.7%	7.1% 3.4%									
Percent of five births with mothers who entered prenatal care rate Percent of children (Age 3 and under) who were breastfed or fed breast milk	2017		92.3%	96.3%	100.0%	100.0%	81.5%	100.0%	100.0%	97.3%	100.0%	100.0%	
Percent of children 0-5 years who were breast fed at least 6 months	2017			49.7%	30.0%	49.3%	51.0%	55.9%	66.8%	44.7%	45.0%	52.4%	
Percent of Children (age 1 - 5 years) who were Breastfed by their Biological Mothers at													i
least 12 Months.	2015			27.6%	17.8%	37.9%	16.5%	24.7%	43.8%	31.7%	29.8%	22.4%	
Infant mortality per 1,000 live births	2017	6	4.6%	4.4%									
Early Childhood Development and Parenting													
Percent of children attending preschool, nursery school or head start	2017			17.0%		13.2%	36.0%	10.8%	24.3%			27.9%	
Parents concerned about the child's learning and development (1)	2014			18.1%									
Doctor/other professional referred child to specialist regarding development	2017		14.0%	14.9%									
Condition that limits or prevents activities that are usual for child's age	2017		4.8%	2.5%*									
Child received psychological/emotional counseling in past year	2017		8.8%	8.4%									
Doctor/other professional referred child to specialist for speech, language, or hearing tests	2017		20.4%	23.2%									
Child has difficulties with emotion/concentration/behavior in past 6 months	2017		17.9%	14.9%									
Doctor/other professional noted concerns to monitor child	2017		13.0%	13.1%									
Percent of Children (0-5 years old) Whose Parent/Guardian/Decision Maker Reported That													
it Is Easy (Very or Somewhat) to Find Someone To Talk To When They Need Advice on How To Raise Their Child.	2015			86.6%	91.3%	86.5%	84.9%	86.1%	92.0%	86.4%	76.0%	94.9%	
Mental Health													
Average Number of Activity Limitation Days in the Past Month for Adults (18+ years) Due to Poor Physical and/or Mental Health.	2015			2.3	3.2	2.5	2.0	2.7	1.8	2.6	2.0	2.1	
Percent who ever seriously thought about committing suicide	2017		11.6%	9.6%	16.0%	7.9%	9.6%	8.7%	13.2%	8.3%	10.5%	9.4%	
Percent of Adults who had serious psychological distress in past year	2017		10.0%	9.7%	7.3%	7.6%	11.0%	10.9%	9.2%	9.3%	12.4%	9.0%	
Percent of teens and adults who likely has had serious psychological distress during past			4.4%	4.4%	3.6%	3.2%	4.2%	5.1%	3.2%	5.0%	5.9%	4.4%	
month	2017		7.4/0	7.7/0	3.076	J.Z /0	7.2 /0	J.1 /0	J.Z /0	3.0 /6	3.370	7.4 /0	
Percent of adults who needed help with an emotional/mental health problem from use of alcohol or drugs	2017		18.5%	17.1%	16.4%	17.6%	15.3%	15.5%	23.0%	14.7%	14.0%	21.1%	
Percent of teens who needed help with an emotional/mental health problem from use of			19.8%	24.3%							41.2%		
alcohol or drugs Percent of adults who took prescription medication for an emotional/mental health issue in	2017			,3									
the past year	2017		10.4%	8.8%	10.3%	11.0%	7.6%	8.7%	13.2%	7.0%	4.1%	9.8%	
,													

DATA INDICATOR													
DATA INDICATOR													
		jet Jet										L	
Legend An italicized indicator denotes qualitative data collected in a focus group or interview Two dashes () = no data available		0 Target		>	Antelope Valley	9	_					South Bay/Harbo	(n=47)
SPA = Service Planning Area		202		County	ē Ķ	San Fernando	Gabriel					ay/l	ٿ
Black boxes indicate that the area performed worse than the benchmark Grey boxes indicate the variable was used in a CHNA report.		People		ပိ	dole	Fe		o	#	£		£	Survey
Red boxes indicate the variable was identified as a health driver in 2016 scorecard	Data	Peo	o o	eles	Ante	San	San	Metro	West	South	East	nog	Sur.
(*) = Restricted data	4	5	orni	Angeles	1	2 - 3	e -	- 1	2 - 7	9-9	1-2	8	ne :
Please note that for most data indicators, it is possible to get further sub-population breakdowns by	Year	Healthy	California	Los /	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	Online
gender, FPL, age)	>	<u> </u>	ပ		တ	တ	တ	S	S	S	S	ဟ	0
Percent of adults who saw a healthcare provider for an emotional/mental and/or alcohol or	2017		15.2%	15.1%	15.9%	13.7%	16.0%	17.8%	17.4%	13.4%	12.2%	16.4%	
drug issue in the past year Percent of teens who received psychological and emotional counseling in the past 12	2017												
months	2017		14.8%	16.8%		11.2%	33.7%					20.4%	
Percent who sought help for self-reported mental/emotional and/or alcohol-drug issue(s)	2017		39.7%	39.9%	34.6%	49.3%	41.5%	27.7%	45.0%	36.6%	30.7%	39.9%	
Percent of adults whose emotions impaired their work in the past 12 months	2017		14.4%	14.6%	10.3%	13.3%	16.8%	18.9%	15.2%	11.9%	13.1%	14.4%	
Percent of adults whose emotions impaired their family life in the past 12 months	2017		15.7%	15.3%	12.8%	13.0%	16.1%	17.9%	15.0%	14.2%	16.2%	16.4%	
Percent of adults whose emotions impaired their social life in the past 12 months	2017		16.0%	16.6%	12.3%	14.5%	16.7%	19.1%	14.9%	13.5%	18.1%	16.4%	
Preventative Health Behaviors													
Preventative Health Care													
Percent of Adults (age 65+ years) Who Ever had a Pneumonia Shot.	2015	93		62.0%	69.9%	65.0%	59.5%	65.8%	61.2%	51.1%	60.9%	62.4%	
Percent of Seniors (65 and over) who received an influenza vaccination in the past year Percent of Adults (Age: 18-64) who received an influenza vaccination in the past year	2016 2016		69.3% 37.7%	67.4% 34.3%	63.7%* 36.1%	74.0% 35.5 %	69.4% 31.0%	63.2%* 35.4%	71.2%* 33.2%	54.6%* 40.0%	64.7%* 34.3%	67.1% 33.1%	
Percent of youth (Age 0-17) who received an influenza vaccination in the past year	2016		49.6%	54.4%	39.0%*	48.4%	55.5%*	69.1%*	64.9%*	48.7%*	42.4%	54.4%	
Percent who visited a doctor in the last year	2014		80.9%	80.6%	77.2%	80.2%	81.1%	72.9%	83.1%	83.7%	77.1%	86.7%	
Percent of youth who visited a doctor in the last year	2014		87.8%	91.9%	81.3%	92.2%	94.7%	92.8%	100.0%	88.1%	95.3%	89.1%	
Physical activity	0047		40.00/	40.00/	47.00/	40.40/	47 50/	40.00/	40.00/	04.40/	04.00/	47.40/	
Percent of adults physically active at least 20 minutes a day in a week Percent who walked to work (Age 16 and over)	2017 2017		19.2% 2.7%	18.9% 2.7%	17.9%	19.1%	17.5%	19.3%	16.2%	21.1%	21.9%	17.4%	
Percent of children or teens who visited a park or other open space in the last month	2017		83.9%	83.3%	75.0%	88.0%	88.3%	86.5%	88.0%	72.6%	87.8%	82.6%	
Percent of children who did not engage in physical activity in a given week	2017		8.3%	4.3%	7 3.0 /0								
Percent of teens who did not engage in physical activity in a given week	2016		9.2%	6.1%			9.2%	33.7%		22.9%	2.8%	2.0%	
Percent of children who engaged in physical activity 3 or more days a week	2017		78.3%	77.2%	88.1%	80.0%	62.3%	88.3%	55.8%	81.4%	71.2%	90.3%	
5th graders: Fitnessgram test- Percent in Healty Fitness Zone in Aerobic Capacity	2018		61.9%	58.9%									
7th graders: Fitnessgram test- Percent in Healty Fitness Zone in Aerobic Capacity	2018		63.6%	60.1%									
9th graders: Fitnessgram test- Percent in Healty Fitness Zone in Aerobic Capacity	2018		61.7%	56.2%									
Nutrition	0047		0.4.40/	05.00/	00.70/	0.4.70/	04.5%	00.00/	45.00/	05.00/	00.40/	05.00/	
Percent of adults who consumed at least two or more sodas per week	2017		24.1%	25.3%	26.7%	24.7%	24.5%	26.9%	15.8%	35.3%	23.1%	25.2%	
Percent of children who ate 5 or more fresh fruits and vegetables per day Percent of teens who ate 5 or more fresh fruits and vegetables per day	2017 2017		26.7% 25.6%	24.6% 32.4%	13.3%	32.0% 25.2 %	31.7% 21.4%	26.6%	15.4%	14.6% 55.7%	12.9%	17.0% 26.1%	
Percent of children and teens who consumed two or more fruit servings the day before	2017		66.9%		59.9%		71.7%		52.5%		59.1%		
Percent of children and teens who consumed two or more glasses of soda the day before	2017		4.1%	4.3%									
Percent of children and teens who consumed two or more glasses of sugary drinks (other	2017		10.4%	9.2%									
than soda) the day before Percent of population who ate fast food 3 or more times in the past week	2016		25.0%	28.0%	37.7%	28.1%	28.5%	22.9%	22.0%	25.4%	28.3%	22.4%	
Percent of youth (Age 0-17) who ate fast food 3 or more times in the past week	2016		23.4%	22.4%	44.2%	22.5%	9.0%	21.2%		23.9%	25.0%	23.7%	
Percent of adults (18-64) who ate fast food 3 or more times in the past week	2016		32.3%	26.4%									

DATA INDICATOR													
Legend An italicized indicator denotes qualitative data collected in a focus group or interview Two dashes () = no data available SPA = Service Planning Area Black boxes indicate that the area performed worse than the benchmark Grey boxes indicate the variable was used in a CHNA report. Red boxes indicate the variable was identified as a health driver in 2016 scorecard (*) = Restricted data Please note that for most data indicators, it is possible to get further subpopulation breakdowns by	Year of Data	Healthy People 2020 Target	California	Los Angeles County	SPA 1 - Antelope Valley	SPA 2 - San Fernando	PA 3 - San Gabriel	PA 4 - Metro	SPA 5 - West	SPA 6 - South	SPA 7 - East	SPA 8 - South Bay/Harbor	Online Survey (n=47)
gender, FPL, age)	×	Ĭ	ပ	د	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	0
Percent of seniors (65 and over) who ate fast food 3 or more times in the past week Ratio of mental health care providers to population County Health Behavior Ranking (out of 58) Women's Health	2016 2016 2018		28.2% 360:1	32.5% 370:1 11	-		 	 	 	 	 	 	
Women 30+ Years, Had a Mammogram in Past Two Years	2016		76.1%	78.2%	77.3%*	85.6%*	74.2%*	71.5%*	71.5%*	86.6%*	78.1%*	76.5%*	
Percent of Women (ages 21-65 years) Who Reported Having a Pap Smear within the Past			70.170										
3 Years.	2015			84.4%	89.3%	88.2%	81.2%	78.4%	88.7%	84.2%	85.9%	83.1%	
Oral Health Behaviors													
Oral Health Care													
Dentist ratio to population	2018		1,210:1	1,200:1									
Condition of Teeth: Percent of adults with poor dental health or no natural teeth	2017		9.5%	9.5%	13.9%	10.4%	11.2%	10.0%	1.9%	11.2%	9.8%	6.8%	4
Percent of adults who have never been to a dentist	2017		2.5%	3.3%		2.5%	2.6%	4.5%		6.9%	2.9%	2.6%	1
Percent of teens who have never been to dentist	2017		1.2%										
Percent of children who have never been to dentist	2017		14.0%	12.4%		21.0%	3.9%	10.0%		24.7%	15.3%		
Percent of adults who visited a dentist in the last year	2017		72.8%	70.2%	64.3%	73.2%	73.3%	62.0%	78.2%	66.5%	69.4%	69.4%	
Percent of children who visited a dentist in the last year Percent of Children (age 3-17 years) Who Were Unable to Afford Dental Care and Check-	2017		84.4%	85.7% 11.5%	84.6% 14.2%	75.9%	93.5%	90.0%	85.4% 13.3%	71.9% 10.4%	84.7% 11.5%	96.4%	
Ups in the Past Year. Dental Care Access	2010			11.070	17.270	10.070	0.070	10.070	10.070	10.170	11.070	11.570	
Percent living in a dental provider Health Professional Shortage Area	2015		4.9%	2.0%									
Percent of adults with dental insurance	2017		65.1%	61.1%	64.6%	60.8%	64.2%	56.1%	67.7%	50.0%	60.8%	65.5%	
Percent of teens who have never been to a dentist due to cost or lack of insurance	2014		30.6%	39.0%	52.5%	17.1%	73.5%	54.2%	49.3%	75.3%	10.8%	31.4%	
Percent of children who have never been to a dentist due to cost or lack of insurance	2014		10.4%	10.0%		11.4%	5.6%	9.2%	13.2%	12.0%	15.7%	6.5%	
Percent of youth with dental insurance	2017		87.6%	86.1%	79.6%	87.7%	94.1%	76.3%	77.7%	74.9%	100.0%	79.7%	
Ratio of dentists to population	2017		1,260:1	1,260:1	7 3.0 /0								
Obesity/Overweight	2010		1,200.1	1,200.1									
Percent of adults who are overweight	2017		33.9%	32.9%	31.0%	32.6%	34.3%	31.2%	24.8%	39.7%	30.0%	35.2%	
Percent of adults who are obese	2017	<=30.5%	26.4%	28.2%	40.2%	27.5%	22.0%	28.7%	20.5%	34.6%	34.5%	27.0%	
Percent of teens who are overweight	2017		15.1%	12.5%			12.3%			52.3%			
Percent of teens who are obese	2017		14.6%	14.0%									
Percent of children who are overweight	2017		14.5%	11.4%		14.2%	5.8%	17.8%		27.8%	4.8%	8.9%	
Ethnicity: Percent of Adult African Americans with Body Mass Index considered overweight or obese	2017		71.8%	74.4%									
Ethnicity: Percent of Adult Asians with Body Mass Index considered overweight or obese	2017		39.5%	36.9%									
Ethnicity: Percent of Adult Latinos with Body Mass Index considered overweight or obese	2017		70.4%	70.8%									
Ethnicity: Percent of Adult Whites with Body Mass Index considered overweight or obese	2017		57.3%	55.0%									
5th graders: Fitnessgram test- body composition area needs improvement/health risk	2018		21.3%	24.9%									

DATA INDICATOR													
Legend An italicized indicator denotes qualitative data collected in a focus group or interview Two dashes () = no data available SPA = Service Planning Area Black boxes indicate that the area performed worse than the benchmark Grey boxes indicate the variable was used in a CHNA report. Red boxes indicate the variable was identified as a health driver in 2016 scorecard (*) = Restricted data Please note that for most data indicators, it is possible to get further subpopulation breakdowns by gender, FPL, age) 7th graders: Fitnessgram test- body composition area needs improvement/health risk		Healthy People 2020 Target	California	Los Angeles County	SPA 1 - Antelope Valley	SPA 2 - San Fernando	SPA 3 - San Gabriel	SPA 4 - Metro	SPA 5 - West	SPA 6 - South	SPA 7 - East	SPA 8 - South Bay/Harbor	Online Survey (n=47)
	2018		19.8%	22.7%									
9th graders: Fitnessgram test- body composition area needs improvement/health risk	2018		18.4%	20.7%									
At Risk Behaviors			. 0. 170	20.1 70									
Sexual Behavior and Health													
Percent of teens who are not sexually active	2017		86.4%	86.5%	100.0%	76.7%	96.0%	100.0%	63.1%	100.0%	100.0%	72.5%	i
Rate of chlamydia incidence per 100,000 pop.	2015		459.9	541.4			22.073						
Rate of gonorrhea incidence rate per 100,000 pop.^	2015		118.5	151.3									
Rate of primary and secondary syphilis incidence per 100,000 pop.^	2015		10.0	11.9									
Rate of early latent syphilis per 100,000 pop.	2015		8.9	14.8									
Percent of live births to teen mothers (15-19 years old)	2018		21.0%	20.9%									
Alcohol and Substance Abuse													
Percent of adults who binge drank (5 or more) in the past year	2015		34.7%	33.8%	33.1%	35.6%	27.0%	45.1%	34.5%	30.1%	27.0%	38.2%	
Percent of teens who binge drank (5 or more) in the past year	2017		5.8%	7.3%						-			
Percent of teens who ever had an alcoholic drink	2017		22.6%	21.2%	17.6%	41.3%			79.2%			25.3%	i
Percent of population who are smokers	2018		11.0%	11.0%									
Percent of adults who currently smoke	2017		10.2%	9.0%	10.3%	7.6%	9.1%	11.5%	5.2%	13.3%	7.6%	8.5%	
Percent of young adults 18-24 years old who smoke	2017		7.4%	5.2%		4.1%	8.5%			11.6%		5.0%	
Percent of adults who have ever smoked e-cigarette's	2017		17.9%	17.1%	12.7%	18.4%	18.1%	18.3%	20.3%	15.1%	10.8%	19.9%	i
Percent who ever tried marijuana or Hashish (Age 17 and under)	2017		12.4%	14.7%					66.9%				
Air Quality	0010		65	60									
Number of days where Ozone levels were above the standard	2016		22	60	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	
Annual average particulate matter concentration (micrograms per cubic meter) Other Family and Community Socio-Economics	2016		9	12									
Cultural and Linguistic Barriers													
Percent who had a difficult time understanding their doctor	2017		3.1%	3.6%	4.6%	3.4%	2.4%	3.8%		4.0%	6.1%	4.0%	
	2014		9.5%	12.7%		J. 1.70	2.770				0.15/0		
Percent who live in homes in which English is not spoken (linguistically isolated)													
Percent who speak a language other than English Economic Security	2014		19.1%	25.8%			-				-	-	
Percent of population living with income below 100% Federal Poverty Level	2017		16.8%	20.6%	16.2%	14.2%	19.1%	25.9%	9.0%	38.6%	28.8%	14.8%	х
Percent of population living with income below 100-199% Federal Poverty Level	2017		18.1%	18.2%	30.4%	16.8%	15.2%	22.7%	9.6%	20.4%	20.4%	17.6%	х
Percent of Population living 200-299 below the Federal Poverty Level	2017		12.9%	13.6%	14.1%	15.8%	13.5%	9.5%	8.8%	14.3%	12.4%	16.2%	1
Percent of Population living more than 300% below the Federal Poverty level	2017		52.3%	47.5%	39.3%	53.2%	52.2%	42.0%	72.5%		38.4%	51.4%	
Percent of Youth (0-17) living 100% below the Federal Poverty Level	2017		21.0%	27.4%	17.7%	14.8%		37.2%		50.3%	39.6%	21.0%	
Percent of Youth (0-17) living 100-199% below the Federal Poverty Level	2017		19.5%	15.2%		16.6%	12.4%	21.6%		10.1%	10.6%	13.3%	
Percent of Youth (0-17) living 200-299 below the Federal Poverty level	2017		13.4%	15.8%	15.3%	17.3%	15.3%	 25.00/	12.7%	14.9%	15.7%	23.1%	
Percent of Youth (0-17) living more than 300% below the Federal Poverty level	2017		46.0%	41.5%	26.8%	51.4%	43.2%	35.8%	72.9%	24.6%	34.2%	42.7%	
Youth (under 18) in poverty Female head of household with youth (under 18) in poverty	2017 2017		20.7%	24.0%									
Percent of households where housing costs exceed 30% of total household income			45.0%	49.9%									
refuent of nouseholds where nousing costs exceed 30% of total nousehold income	2014		45.0%	49.9%				-			-	_	

DATA INDICATOR													
Legend		ge										ō	
An italicized indicator denotes qualitative data collected in a focus group or interview		<u>a</u>			e G	_						Bay/Harbor	2
Two dashes () = no data available		. 03		æ	a a	절	_					Ŧ	4=
SPA = Service Planning Area		502		Ē	> 0	nar L	rie					a A	تے
Black boxes indicate that the area performed worse than the benchmark		<u>e</u>		Angeles County	- Antelope Valley	Fernando	San Gabriel	_		_		е В	e
Grey boxes indicate the variable was used in a CHNA report.	<u>ta</u>	8		S	重		_	Metro	West	South	East	South	Ž
Red boxes indicate the variable was identified as a health driver in 2016 scorecard	of Data	a.	<u>.</u>	<u>je</u>	₹	San				တိ		တိ	Su
(*) = Restricted data	₽ 2	Ę	i o	Ę.	_	-2	م-	4	5-	- 9	7 -	ώ.	ne
Please note that for most data indicators, it is possible to get further subpopulation breakdowns by gender, FPL, age)	Year	Healthy People 2020 Target	California	Los,	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	Online Survey (n=47)
Elderly single/couple income below county cost of living thresholds	2017		23.0%	28.0%	30.6%	20.6%	29.2%	47.9%	11.7%	51.2%	28.2%	19.0%	
Percent of students eligible for free/reduced price meals	2017		60.1%	69.3%									х
WIC usage among qualified adults	2014		53.5%	59.7%		54.1%	54.1%	87.1%		64.8%	74.3%	24.6%	
Percent on WICchildren 6 years and younger	2016		44.7%	52.7%	41.8%	26.8%	70.3%	56.8%		66.2%	49.7%	82.7%	х
Percent receiving food stamps	2016		23.1%	21.7%	14.8%	17.5%	17.8%	27.3%	12.7%	31.4%	24.6%	18.8%	х
Percent receiving TANF or CalWORKS	2016		10.2%	9.9%	7.8%	4.1%	8.5%	14.5%	1.3%	17.7%	11.0%	0.9%	х
Rate of unemployment	2017		7.7	7.8									х
Access to Food													
Percent who are unable to afford enough food (food insecurity)	2017		40.8%	40.2%	53.6%	42.5%	38.4%	31.9%	40.9%	43.2%	41.4%	37.8%	X
Percent of Children (0-17 years old) Whose Parent/Guardian/Decision Maker Reported Rating Community's Access to Fresh Fruits/Vegetables as Excellent or Good.	2015			75.0%	70.0%	81.4%	83.4%	69.8%	92.7%	54.8%	70.8%	77.1%	
Percent who reported the availability of affordable fresh fruits and vegetables in their neighborhood	2014		98.9%	98.8%	93.1%	99.6%	98.8%	99.9%	97.8%	99.5%	97.1%	99.8%	
Community Safety and Violence Among Youth													
Percent of teens who feared being attacked at school in the past year	2017		9.2%										
Percent of teens who perceive their neighborhood park or playground as safe	2017		94.9%	93.2%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	68.3%	100.0%	100.0%	96.6%	85.6%	
Percent of youth(1-17 years old) Reported Easy Access to a Park, Playground or Other Safe Place to Play	2015			86.8%	87.1%	86.3%	91.5%	81.9%	90.2%	78.5%	90.8%	87.7%	
Percent of Children (0-17 years old) Whose Parent/Guardian/Decision Maker Reported													
	2015			63.6%	53.9%	71.0%	75.0%	55.5%	85.8%	41.3%	58.4%	65.4%	
Rating Community's Public Safety as Excellent or Good. Percent of teens who received threats of violence or physical harm by peers in the past													
Vear	2017		9.1%	2.9%*									
Rate of juvenile felony arrest per 1,000 youth	2015		5.3	5.1									
Arrest Rate of persons under age 18 (per 100,000 aged 10-17) for Robbery	2013		65.0	77.0									
Arrest Rate of persons under age 18 (per 100,000 aged 10-17) for Loitering/Curfew	2014		76.0	119.0									
Percent of public school staff reporting High School Student bullying/harrassment is a													
problem at school	2015		34.1	31.9									
Rate of homicide per 100,000 pop.	2017	<=5.5	4.6	5.6									
Rate of non-fatal assaults per 100,000 pop.	2017	ν=0.0	266.1	330.5									
Rate of violent crimes per 100,000 pop.	2017		450.7	583.4					_				
Rate of robberies per 100,000 pop.	2017		142.9	205.3			_						
CA OTS Ranking: Total Fatal and Injury by County (out of 58)	2017			2									
CA OTS Ranking: Pedestrian collision by County (out of 58)	2016			3									
CA OTS Ranking: Prinking Driver under 21 by County (out of 58)	2016			18									
CA OTS Ranking: Difficulty of the funder 21 by County (out of 58)	2016			10									
Access to Shelter													
Homelessness													
Total number of homeless individuals	2018			49,955	3,203	7,478	3,605	14,218	4,401	8,343	4,569	4,138	
Total number of homeless youth	2015			280	29	40	11	73	4	95	15	13	

DATA INDICATOR													
Legend An italicized indicator denotes qualitative data collected in a focus group or interview Two dashes () = no data available SPA = Service Planning Area Black boxes indicate that the area performed worse than the benchmark Grey boxes indicate the variable was used in a CHNA report. Red boxes indicate the variable was identified as a health driver in 2016 scorecard (*) = Restricted data Please note that for most data indicators, it is possible to get further subpopulation breakdowns by gender, FPL, age)	Year of Data	Healthy People 2020 Target	California	Los Angeles County	SPA 1 - Antelope Valley	SPA 2 - San Fernando	SPA 3 - San Gabriel	SPA 4 - Metro	SPA 5 - West	SPA 6 - South	SPA 7 - East	SPA 8 - South Bay/Harbor	Online Survey (n=47)
Total number of homeless that are mentally ill	2015			12,253	525	2,095	627	3,408	1,748	1,894	1,082	825	
Total number of homeless with a physical disability	2015			8,148	587	1,097	572	2,035	1,077	1,349	738	569	
Total number of homeless with a substance abuse problem	2015			10,388	325	1,403	740	2,843	1,147	1,284	1,564	1,084	
Total number of veterans who are homeless	2015			4,016	79	587	239	1,237	888	472	287	575	
Homelessness: Percent of homeless who are single adults	2018			84.1%	78.4%	77.2%	87.2%	89.7%	85.5%	80.6%	85.2%	83.5%	
Homelessness: Percent of homeless who are families	2018			15.8%	21.6%	22.8%	12.8%	9.9%	14.4%	19.4%	14.7%	16.5%	
Homelessness: Percent of homeless who are unaccompanied minors	2018			0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	
Homelessness: Percent chronically homeless	2018			26.6%	29.4%	24.6%	33.8%	31.7%	25.7%	21.3%	19.5%	23.5%	
Homelessness: Percent with substance abuse	2018			14.8%	3.1%	17.2%	21.7%	19.0%	12.4%	11.9%	9.0%	12.4%	
Homelessness: Percent who have HIV/AIDS	2018			1.5%	0.3%	1.3%	0.7%	3.4%	0.9%	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	
Homelessness: Percent who have serious Mental Illness	2018			26.8%	34.6%	27.9%	32.7%	31.4%	30.6%	16.5%	19.0%	21.9%	
Homelessness: Percent who are survivors of domestic violence	2018			29.6%	24.9%	29.7%	37.8%	33.5%	34.1%	24.2%	27.7%	19.1%	
Homelessness: Percent who are veterans	2018			7.1%	7.8%	5.0%	6.4%	7.6%	11.0%	5.4%	6.6%	8.8%	
Homelessness: Percent who are under 18 Housing	2018			9.5%	13.9%	12.7%	7.7%	6.2%	8.7%	11.9%	8.8%	9.7%	
Percent of occupied housing with one or more substandard conditions	2014		47.5%	54.0%									
Percent of housing units that are vacant	2014		8.5%	6.4%									
Rate of HUD-assisted units per 10,000 housing units	2013		368.3	439.3									
Housing units: owner occupied	2017		54.9%	45.9%									
Housing units: renter occupied	2017		45.5%	54.1%									

APPENDIX B Prioritization Survey



The Center for Nonprofit Management (CNM) is working with Children's Hospital Los Angeles (CHLA) to conduct CHLA's 2019 Community Health Needs Assessment. The needs assessment is a systematic process that identifies key health needs and issues through data collection and analysis. At CHLA, this process will help us to identify and understand our community's demographics, health disparities, and the social determinants of health affecting children, adolescents, and their families.

The information you provide will be kept confidential and will only be reported in summary form and will not be associated with your name.

As a member of our community, we ask you to participate in a brief online survey to share your insight regarding key health needs and issues affecting the communities we serve.

In addition, we hope to identify opportunities to actively address the community needs, be it through strengthening our existing programs and services or creating new collaboratives.

Together, we can make meaningful steps towards carrying out our mission of Creating Hope and Building Healthier Futures.

Thank you for your participation.

hat is the most common healthcare coverage that community members (including yourself) are most y to have?
Medicare
Medicaid
Private insurance (Kaiser Permanente, Anthem Blue Cross, Humana, etc.)
I don't have healthcare coverage
Other (please specify)

members/neighbors.													
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor								
Yourself													
Family members													
Community members													
3. What were the major Please select the top 5	health conditio	ns facing communi	ty members (inc	luding yourself) in	the last year?								
Chronic disease condition	ons (i.e. diabetes, I	neart disease, high bloc	od pressure, asthma	, disability, HIV/AIDS)									
Community safety and v	violence among you	uth											
Early childhood development													
Mental health													
Nutrition and physical a	ctivity												
Oral health care													
Overweight and obesity													
Youth at-risk behaviors													
Youth development and	workforce training												
Other (please specify)													

2. Please rate how you describe the overall health of yourself, your family members and community

4. What resources (i.e., organizations/programs/services) exist
community members can go to for help? Please specify by issue.
Access to health care
Chronic disease conditions (i.e. diabetes, heart disease, high blood pressure, asthma, disability, HIV/AIDS)
Community safety and violence among youth
Early childhood development
Mental health
Nutrition and physical activity
Oral health care
Overweight and obesity
Youth at-risk behaviors
Youth development and workforce training
Other (please specify)

5. Which of the issues do community members have the most difficult time receiving assistance with? Select the top 5
Access to health care
Chronic disease conditions (i.e. diabetes, heart disease, high blood pressure, asthma, disability, HIV/AIDS)
Community safety and violence among youth
Early childhood development
Mental health
Nutrition and physical activity
Oral health care
Overweight and obesity
Youth at-risk behaviors
Youth development and workforce training
Other (please specify)
6. Are there services (health and otherwise) that youth under the age of 18 years old have a particularly difficult time accessing?
7. In the last year, were community members (including yourself) able to access the needed health or social support services they needed?
Yes, always
Yes, mostly
Sometimes
Very few times
Not At All

communities or areas	in Los Angeles County, or other sub-populations that you feel suffer	most in terms of
accessing care or oth	er resources to address any of the issues below.	
Access to health care		
Chronic disease conditions (i.e. diabetes, heart disease, high blood pressure, asthma, disability, HIV/AIDS)		
Community safety and violence among youth		
Early childhood development		
Mental health		
Nutrition and physical activity		
Oral health care		
Overweight and obesity		
Youth at-risk behaviors		
Youth development and workforce training		
Other (please specify)		
9. If community members why not? Select the to Don't have health instruction. Cannot afford it Transportation-related	urance	port services,
No specialist in the co	ommunity for a specific condition	
Unable to take time o	ff work	
Difficulty scheduling		
Language barrier		
Other (please specify)	

8. Who in Los Angeles County is most impacted? If possible, please specify any ethnic groups, age groups,

10. What social, economic, or environmental factors the top 5	do you feel contribute the most to poor health? Select
Access to affordable health care	Lack of dental care access
Access to healthy and affordable foods	Lack of disease management
Air quality	Lack of health education
Alcohol abuse	Lack of health screenings
Cultural practices/behaviors	Language barriers
Education Level	Physical activity
Healthy eating	Safety
Homelessness	Substance abuse
Housing	Transportation-related issues
Lack of awareness of the available health and/or social services	Unemployment
Other (please specify)	
11. If community members (including yourself) have disease, asthma, etc., how is it kept under control?	
Support from health care provider	ociest air triat apply
Health education (i.e. disease specific information)	
Support groups	
Take prescribed medication	
Stay active (i.e. exercise and other physical movements)	
Other (please specify)	

12. Where do community members (including yourse whatever problem they are having? Select all that ap		
Community based organization/agency	Local school	
Community center	Natural remedies/at home remedies	
Community clinic	Primary Care Physician	
Curanderos/Traditional Healers	Urgent care	
Emergency room	Hospital	
Internet	Go outside of the community to access services	
Local Health Department		
Other (please specify)		
Select the top 3 Appropriate use of prescribed medication Managing a chronic condition Healthy eating Preventative dental care Preventive healthcare including health screenings Regular exercise Other (please specify)		
14. What might CHLA do to better meet the health ne	eeds of the community? Please explain	
019 CHLA Community Health Needs Assessment Survey		
lease tell us about yourself.		

The following information will be kept confidential and is only for the purpose of data analysis.

15. Are you a CHLA e	mployee?
Yes	
No	
16. How long have you	u been an employee of CHLA. Please enter in years and months.
Number of years	
Number of months	
17. What is your title?	
18. What ZIP Code do	you live in?
19. What is your age?	20. What is your ethnicity?
Under 18 years old	African-American/Black
18-24 years old	American Indian/Alaska Native
25-34 years old	Asian
35-44 years old	Caucasian
45-54 years old	Hispanic/Latino
55-64 years old	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
65 and older years old	Other (please specify)
21. What is your gend	er?

APPENDIX C Community Input Tracking

CHLA COMMUNITY INPUT TRACKING

A. Primary Data Collection

Data Collection Method Employed	Who Participated	Number of Participants
Online Survey	Community Members	33
	CHLA staff	
	Nurse	
	 Division Administrator 	
	Clinical Administrator	
	CHLA Volunteers	
	• CEO	
	Project Coordinator	

B. Prioritization Meeting

Data Collection Method Employed	Who Participated	Number of Participants
Prioritization Forum	 Organizations that participated AltaMed Asian Pacific Healthcare Venture Child Care Resource Center-Head Start Health Specialist Child Care Resource Center-Inclusion Specialist Child 360 Children's Hospital Los Angeles – Chaplain Children's Hospital Los Angeles – Clinical Programs Children's Hospital Los Angeles – Community Affairs Children's Hospital Los Angeles – Family Advisory Council Children's Hospital Los Angeles – Pediatric Residency Program Children's Hospital Los Angeles – Promotoras Children Now CHLA Community Affairs City Council Community Clinic Association of LA County Community Health Councils Downtown LA YMCA Eastern Los Angeles Regional Center Junior Ambassador Junior Ambassador Parent Los Angeles Los Angeles County Department of Public Health March of Dimes Office of Senator Kevin de León 	48

Data Collection Method Employed	Who Participated	Number of Participants
	 Safety Belt Safe U.S.A. 	
	STEM Academy	
	 Thai Health And Information Services, Inc. 	
	 UCLA-Research with Children's Data Network 	
	 Uplift Family Services 	
	USC Family Medicine	
	 Work Site Wellness-Executive Director 	
	Youth Policy Institute	
	 Zero to Three 	